



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
P.O. BOX 1022  
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 16 2009**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Patent No. 7,553,868 :  
Morley et al. :  
Issue Date: June 30, 2009 : DECISION ON REQUEST FOR  
Application No. 10/542,044 : RECONSIDERATION OF  
Filed: July 13, 2005 : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT  
Attorney Docket No. 06275-460US1 :  
100928-1P US

This is in response to the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d)", filed August 28, 2009. Patentees request that the determination of patent term adjustment for the above-identified patent be corrected from eighty-eight (88) days to one hundred seventy-four (174) days.

The request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment is **DISMISSED** with respect to making any change in the patent term adjustment determination under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) of 88 days.

On June 30, 2009, the above-identified application matured into U.S. Patent No. 7,553,868 with a revised patent term adjustment of 88 days. The Office determined that the 85 days of Office delay, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b),<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 37 CFR 1.702(b) provides:

Failure to issue a patent within three years of the actual filing date of the application. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, but not including:

overlap with the 149 days of Office delay,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1),<sup>3</sup> accorded during the pendency of the application. As such, the Office allowed entry of only the period of adjustment for Office delay of 149 days. No additional days of patent term adjustment were entered at issuance under the three-year pendency provision. Given the 61 days of applicant delay, the patent issued with a revised patent term adjustment of 88 days.

On August 28, 2009, patentees timely submitted this request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment within two months of the issue date of the patent. See 37 CFR 1.705(d).

Patentees aver that the correct number of days of Patent Term Adjustment is 174 days under the court's interpretation of the overlap provision as set forth in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008). Patentees contend that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and (B) overlap by zero days as

---

(1) Any time consumed by continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b);

(2) Any time consumed by an interference proceeding under 35 U.S.C. 135(a);

(3) Any time consumed by the imposition of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181;

(4) Any time consumed by review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or a Federal court; or

(5) Any delay in the processing of the application by the Office that was requested by the applicant.

<sup>2</sup> A Restriction Requirement was mailed on February 9, 2007, 14 months and 149 days after the early commencement of the national stage of the application on July 13, 2005.

<sup>3</sup> 37 CFR 1.702, provides grounds for adjustment of patent term due to examination delay under the Patent Term Guarantee Act of 1999 (original applications, other than designs, filed on or after May 29, 2000).

(a) Failure to take certain actions within specified time frames. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to:

(1) Mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371 in an international application;

they do not occur on the same calendar days in both periods. Patentees maintain that the total non-overlapping period of Office delay is 235 days as these periods do not occur on the same days. Given the 61 days of applicant delay, patentees assert entitlement to 174 days of patent term adjustment.

On October 7, 2008, an RCE was filed. The filing of an RCE cuts off the ability to accumulate any additional patent term adjustment under the three-year pendency provision. The period beginning on the day the RCE is filed to the issue date of the patent is excluded from the period under § 1.702(b). Accordingly, the period in this instance is 85 days, rather than 86 days as calculated by patentees, counting the number of days in the period beginning on July 13, 2008, the date that is three years after the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) and ending on October 6, 2008, the day before the date the RCE was filed.

The Office has considered patentees' interpretation of the period of overlap, but finds it inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of the overlap provision, 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

to the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f)*<sup>4</sup> and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C.

---

<sup>4</sup> Likewise, 37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in § 1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See *Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule*, 65 Fed. Reg. 56366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also *Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule*, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004). See also *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004).

Further, as stated in the *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, the Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

This interpretation is consistent with the statute. Taken together the statute and rule provide that to the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1) and in corresponding § 1.702 overlap, the period of

adjustment granted shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the period during which the application was pending before the Office beginning on the date of early commencement of the national stage of the application under 35 U.S.C. 371(f) on July 13, 2005, and ending on October 6, 2008, the day before the date of the filing of the RCE (not including any other periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)). The relevant period ends with the filing of the RCE as the three-year time frame specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) does not include the period subsequent to the filing of the RCE. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i).

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), 149 days of patent term adjustment were accorded during the pendency of the application for Office delay prior to the issuance of the patent. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b), 85 days of patent term adjustment accrued for Office issuance of the patent more than three years after the filing date of the application.

All of the 85 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlap with the 149 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1). Entry of both the 85 days and the 149 days is neither permitted nor warranted. 149 days is the actual number of days issuance of the patent was delayed.

Accordingly, at issuance, the Office properly entered no additional days of patent term adjustment, having considered the 85 days of Office delay under the three-year pendency provision.

In view thereof, the Office affirms that the revised determination of patent term adjustment at the time of the issuance of the patent is 88 days.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Gay Ann Spahn at (571) 272-0811.

*Christina Patricia Donnell for*

David A. Bucci  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions